

Arakelov motivic cohomology II

Jakob Scholbach

March 4, 2013

Contents

5	Comparison of the regulator	2
5.1	Reminders on the object BGL representing K -theory	3
5.2	Second construction of the regulator	5
5.3	Comparison	9
6	Comparison with arithmetic K-theory and arithmetic Chow groups	10
6.1	Adams operations	16
6.2	The action of K -theory on $\widehat{K}$ -theory	17
6.3	Pushforward	20
7	The Arakelov intersection pairing	23
7.1	Definition	23
7.2	Comparison with the height pairing	24

Abstract

We show that the constructions done in part I generalize their classical counterparts: firstly, the classical Beilinson regulator is induced by the abstract Chern class map from BGL to the Deligne cohomology spectrum. Secondly, Arakelov motivic cohomology is a generalization of arithmetic K -theory and arithmetic Chow groups. Finally, we give a conceptual explanation of the height pairing: it is the natural pairing of motivic homology and Arakelov motivic cohomology.

Introduction

The purpose of this work is to compare the abstract constructions of the regulator map and Arakelov motivic cohomology groups done in part I [HS11] with their classical, more concrete counterparts. This paper is summarized by the following theorem:

Theorem. *The Chern class map $\text{ch}_D : \text{BGL} \rightarrow \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\}$ between the spectra representing K -theory and Deligne cohomology constructed in Definition 3.7 induces the Beilinson regulator*

$$K_n(X) \rightarrow \oplus_p \text{H}_D^{2p-n}(X, p)$$

for any smooth scheme X over an arithmetic field (Theorem 5.7).

Arakelov motivic cohomology groups (Definition 4.4) of smooth schemes X over a number ring, a number field, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ are canonically isomorphic to arithmetic Chow groups:

$$\widehat{\text{H}}^{2p,p}(X, p) = \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \quad (0.1)$$

Here $\widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes a subgroup of the group defined by Gillet and Soulé, see p. 17. This isomorphism (Corollary 6.2) is deduced from a similar isomorphism between the groups represented by $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$ and arithmetic K -groups and a compatibility statement of the Adams operations on arithmetic K -groups and the canonical ones on $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$.

The two possible pushforwards, namely the one established in Definition and Lemma 4.10 on Arakelov motivic cohomology and the classical one on arithmetic Chow groups are shown to be compatible in two cases, namely the pushforward $i_* : K_0(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_0(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f_* : \widehat{\text{CH}}^{\dim X}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for a smooth proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ (Theorem 6.4).

Finally, we show that the height pairing

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}^m(X) \times \text{CH}^{d-m}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(S)$$

coincides, after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$, with the Arakelov intersection pairing of the motive $\text{M}(X)$ of any smooth proper scheme X/S :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(S^0, \text{M}(X)) \times \text{Hom}(\text{M}(X), \text{H}_{\mathbb{B}, S}\{1\}) &\rightarrow \widehat{\text{H}}^2(S, 1), \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \beta \circ \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Andreas Holmstrom for the collaboration leading to part I of this project.

5 Comparison of the regulator

After recalling some details of the construction of BGL in Section 5.1, we construct a Chern class map $\text{ch} : \text{BGL} \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\}$ that induces the Beilinson regulator. This is done in Section 5.2. The strategy is to take Burgos' and Wangs representation of the Beilinson regulator as a map of simplicial presheaves and lift it to a map in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$. We finish this section by proving that this Chern class ch and the one obtained in Definition 3.7,

$$\text{ch}_D : \text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge 1_D} \text{BGL}_{\mathbb{Q}} \wedge \text{H}_D \xrightarrow{\text{ch} \wedge \text{id}} \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{\mathbb{B}}\{p\} \wedge \text{H}_D \xleftarrow{1_{\mathbb{B}} \wedge \text{id}_D, \cong} \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\},$$

agree. In particular, ch_D also induces the Beilinson regulator. This result is certainly not surprising—after all Beilinson’s regulator is the Chern character map for Deligne cohomology.

Throughout, we will use the notation of part I. In particular, $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$ and $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ are the unstable and the stable homotopy category of smooth schemes over some Noetherian base scheme S (Sections 2.1, 2.2).

5.1 Reminders on the object BGL representing K -theory

In order to prove our comparison results, we need some more details concerning the object BGL representing algebraic K -theory. This is due to Riou [Rio].

Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}$ be the Grassmannian whose T -points, for any $T \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$, are given by locally free subsheaves of $\mathcal{O}_T^{d+r}$ of rank d . As usual, we regard this (smooth projective) scheme as a presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}/S$. For $d \leq d'$, $r \leq r'$, the transition map

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d',r'} \quad (5.1)$$

is given on the level of T -points by mapping $M \subset \mathcal{O}_T^{d+r}$ to $\mathcal{O}_T^{d'-d} \oplus M \oplus 0^{r'-r} \subset \mathcal{O}^{d'+r'}$. Put $\mathrm{Gr} := \varinjlim_{\mathbb{N}^2} \mathrm{Gr}_{*,*}$, where the colimit is taken in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)$. It is pointed by the image of $\mathrm{Gr}_{0,0}$. Write $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}$ for the product of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ (pointed by zero) and this presheaf, and also for its image in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$. For a regular base scheme S , there is a functorial (with respect to pullback) isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \cong K_n(X), \quad (5.2)$$

for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$ [MV99, Prop. 3.7, 3.9, page 138].

Definition 5.1. [Rio, I.124, IV.3] The category $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$ is the category of Ω -spectra (with respect to $-\wedge \mathbb{P}^1$) in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$: its objects are sequences $E_n \in \mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with bonding maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ inducing isomorphisms $E_n \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^1, E_{n+1})$.¹ Its morphisms are sequences of maps $f_n : E_n \rightarrow F_n$ (in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$) making the diagrams involving the bonding maps commute.

Remark 5.2. Recall the *projective Nisnevich- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure* on $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra: a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence (fibration), if all its levels $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a weak equivalence (fibration, respectively) in the Nisnevich- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure on $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathbf{PSh}_\bullet(\mathbf{Sm}/S))$ (whose homotopy category is $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$). The homotopy category of spectra with respect to the projective model structure is denoted $\mathbf{SH}_p(S)$. The composition of the inclusion of the full subcategory of Ω -spectra and the natural localization functor,

$$\{X \in \mathbf{SH}_p, X \text{ is an } \Omega\text{-spectrum}\} \subset \mathbf{SH}_p(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(S),$$

is an equivalence. This yields a natural “forgetful” functor $\mathbf{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$.

¹We will not write L or R for derived functors. For example, f^* stands for what is often denoted Lf^* and similarly with right derived functors such as $R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$, $R\Omega$ etc.

Definition and Theorem 5.3. (Riou, [Rio, IV.46, IV.72]) The spectrum $\mathrm{BGL}^{\mathrm{naive}} \in \mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$ consists of $\mathrm{BGL}_n^{\mathrm{naive}} := \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}$ (for each $n \geq 0$) with bonding maps

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{u_1^* \wedge \mathrm{id}} (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \wedge (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}, \quad (5.3)$$

where u_1^* is the map corresponding to $u_1 = [\mathcal{O}(1)] - [\mathcal{O}(0)] \in K_0(\mathbb{P}^1) \stackrel{(5.2)}{=} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr})$ and μ is the multiplication map, that is to say, the unique map [Rio, III.31] inducing the natural (i.e., tensor) product on $K_0(-)$.

For $S = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, there is a lift $\mathrm{BGL}_{\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbf{SH}(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathrm{BGL}^{\mathrm{naive}} \in \mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(\mathbb{Z})$ that is unique up to unique isomorphism. For any scheme $f : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$, put $\mathrm{BGL}_S := f^* \mathrm{BGL}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The unstable representability theorem (5.2) extends to an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(S^n \wedge \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} X_+, \mathrm{BGL}_S) = K_n(X) \quad (5.4)$$

for any regular scheme S and any smooth scheme X/S . In $\mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, i.e., with rational coefficients, $\mathrm{BGL}_S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ decomposes as

$$\mathrm{BGL}_S \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{B}, S}(p)[2p] \quad (5.5)$$

such that the pieces $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{B}, S}(p)[2p]$ represent the graded pieces of the γ -filtration on K -theory:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(S^n \wedge \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} X_+, \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{B}, S}(p)[2p]) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\gamma}^p K_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Lemma 5.4. For any d, r , the motive $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r})$ (cf. Section 2.2) is given by

$$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathrm{M}(S) \left(\sum (\sigma_i - i) \right) \left[2 \sum (\sigma_i - i) \right]. \quad (5.6)$$

The sum runs over all Schubert symbols, i.e., sequences of integers satisfying $1 \leq \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_d \leq d + r$. For $d \leq d', r \leq r'$, the transition maps (5.1) $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d',r'})$ exhibits the former motive as a direct summand of the latter.

Proof: Formula (5.6) is well-known [Sem, 2.4]. The second statement follows from the same technique, namely the localization triangles for motives with compact support applied to the cell decomposition of the Grassmannian: for any field k , a d -space $V^{(d)}$ in k^{d+r} is uniquely described by a $(d, d+r)$ -matrix A in echelon form such that $A_{\sigma_i, j} = \delta_{i,j}$ and $A_{i,j} = 0$ for $i > \sigma_j$ for some Schubert symbol σ . The constructible subscheme of $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}$ whose k -points are given by matrices with fixed σ is an affine space $\mathbb{A}_S^{(\sigma)}$. The transition map $V^{(d)} \mapsto k^{d'-d} \oplus V^{(d)} \oplus 0^{r'-r}$ corresponds to

$$A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{d'-d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0^{r'-r} \end{bmatrix},$$

that is,

$$\sigma \mapsto (1, 2, \dots, d' - d, \sigma_1 + (d' - d), \dots, \sigma_d + (d' - d)) =: \sigma'.$$

In other words, the restriction of the transition maps (5.1) to the cells is the identity map $\mathbb{A}_S^{(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^{(\sigma')}$, which shows the second statement. $\square$

5.2 Second construction of the regulator

In this subsection and the next one, S is an arithmetic field and X is a smooth scheme over S .

Let $\mathcal{K} : \mathbf{Com}^{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Ab}) \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}\mathbf{Ab}$ be the Dold-Kan equivalence on complexes concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 (with $\deg d = -1$). Recall from Definitions 2.8, 3.1 the abelian presheaf complex D and $D_s := \mathcal{K}(\tau_{\geq 0}D)$. We have $H_n(D(X)) = \pi_n(D_s(X)) = \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p)$. We also write $D_s[-1] := \mathcal{K}((\tau_{\geq 0}D)[-1])$. Throughout, Ω_s is the simplicial loop space, its $\mathbb{P}^1$ -analogue is denoted $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

For any pointed simplicial presheaf $F \in \mathbf{Ho}_{\bullet}(S)$, let $\varphi(F)$ be the pointed presheaf

$$\varphi(F) : \mathbf{Sm}/S \ni X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_{\bullet}(S)}(X_+, F). \quad (5.7)$$

According to (5.2) and Lemma 3.2, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr}) &= K_0 : X \mapsto K_0(X), \\ \varphi(\Omega_s^n D_s) &= H_D^{-n} : X \mapsto \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p), \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Here, D_s is a simplicial presheaf satisfying $\pi_n(D_s(X)) = \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p)$, cf. Definition 3.1.

The exact category $\widehat{P}(X)$ consists of *hermitian bundles* $\overline{E} = (E, h)$ on X , i.e., a vector bundle E/X with a metric h on $E(\mathbb{C})/X(\mathbb{C})$ that is invariant under Fr_{∞}^* and smooth at infinity [BW98, Definition 2.5]. Morphisms are given by usual morphisms of bundles, ignoring the metric, so that $\widehat{P}(X)$ is equivalent to the usual category of vector bundles. It is made into an (essentially small) Waldhausen category by declaring the weak equivalences to be isomorphisms, the cofibrations to be admissible monomorphisms. Let

$$S_* : \mathbf{Sm}/S \ni X \mapsto \text{Sing}|S_*\widehat{P}(X)| \quad (5.9)$$

be the presheaf (pointed by the zero bundle) whose sections are given by the simplicial set of singular chains in the topological realization of the Waldhausen S -construction of $\widehat{P}(X)$. Its homotopy presheaves are

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_{\text{sect}, \bullet}(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, S_*) = \pi_n S_*(X) = \pi_{n-1} \Omega_s S_*(X) \cong K_{n-1}(X), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (5.10)$$

Here, $\mathbf{Ho}_{\text{sect}, \bullet}$ denotes the homotopy category of $\Delta^{\text{op}}\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)$ (simplicial pointed presheaves), endowed with the section-wise model structure. K -theory (of regular schemes) is homotopy invariant and satisfies Nisnevich descent [TT90, Thm. 10.8]. Therefore, as is well-known, the left hand term agrees

with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, S_*)$. That is, there is an isomorphism of pointed presheaves

$$\varphi(\Omega_s S_*) \cong K_0. \quad (5.11)$$

According to [Rio, III.61], there is a unique isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$

$$\tau : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow \Omega_s S_*. \quad (5.12)$$

making the obvious triangle involving (5.11) and (5.8) commute.

Recall that for any complex of abelian groups C , there is a natural map $S^1 \wedge \mathcal{K}(C) = \mathrm{cone}(\mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{point}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{cone}(C \rightarrow 0)) = \mathcal{K}(C[-1])$, hence a map

$$\mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow \Omega_s \mathcal{K}(C[-1]). \quad (5.13)$$

This map is a weak equivalence of simplicial abelian groups.

The proof of our comparison of the regulator uses the following result due to Burgos and Wang [BW98, Prop. 3.11, Theorem 5.2., Prop. 6.13]:

Proposition 5.5. *There is a map in $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathbf{PSh}_\bullet(\mathbf{Sm}/S))$*

$$\mathrm{ch}_S : S_* \rightarrow D_s[-1]$$

such that the induced map

$$\pi_n \mathrm{ch}_S : K_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_D^{2p-(n-1)}(X, p)$$

agrees with the Beilinson regulator for all $n \geq 1$.

By (5.12), we get a map in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$:

$$\mathrm{ch} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{\tau, \cong} \Omega_s S_* \xrightarrow{\Omega_s \mathrm{ch}_S} \Omega_s(D_s[-1]) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_s. \quad (5.14)$$

The induced map

$$K_n(X) \stackrel{(5.4)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet}(S^n \wedge X_+, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet}(S^n \wedge X_+, D_s) \stackrel{(30)}{\cong} \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p) \quad (5.15)$$

agrees with the Beilinson regulator. In order to lift the map ch to a map in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$, we first check the compatibility with the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectrum structures to get a map in $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$. This means that the diagram involving the bonding maps only has to commute up to $(\mathbb{A}^1)$ -homotopy. Then, we apply an argument of Riou to show that this map actually lifts uniquely to one in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$. Let us point out that the theorem below is a close adaptation of Riou's work and could also be derived as a corollary of the analogous statements concerning the regulator map taking values in motivic cohomology.

Recall the Deligne cohomology $(\mathbb{P}^1)$ -spectrum H_D from Definition and Lemma 3.3. Its p -th term is given by $D_s(p)$, for any $p \geq 0$.

Theorem 5.6. *(i) In $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$, there is a unique map*

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{naive}} : \mathrm{BGL}_S^{\mathrm{naive}} \rightarrow \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_D(p)[2p] =: \oplus_p H_D\{p\}$$

whose components are given by $\mathrm{ch} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow D_s$ of (5.14).

(ii) In $\mathbf{SH}(S)$, there is a unique map

$$\mathrm{ch} : \mathrm{BGL}_S \rightarrow \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}_D(p)[2p]$$

that maps to $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ under the forgetful functor $\mathbf{SH}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{naive}}(S)$ (Remark 5.2).

(iii) There is a unique map

$$\rho : \mathrm{H}_{B,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_D$$

in $\mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathrm{ch} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(p)[2p] : \mathrm{BGL}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \oplus \mathrm{H}_D(p)[2p]$, under the identification (5.5).

Proof: By Lemma 5.4, the transition maps (5.1) defining the infinite Grassmannian induce split monomorphisms $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d',r'})$ of motives and therefore (e.g. using Theorem 3.6) split surjections (for any $n \geq 0$, $d \leq d'$, $r \leq r'$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(S)}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d',r'}, \Omega_s^n D_s) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(S)}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}, \Omega_s^n D_s) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{H}_D^{-n}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d',r'}) & & \mathrm{H}_D^{-n}(\mathrm{Gr}_{d,r}). \end{array} \quad (5.16)$$

A similar surjectivity statement holds for the map of Deligne cohomology groups induced by transition maps defining the product $\mathrm{Gr} \times \mathrm{Gr}$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{d_1,r_1} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d_2,r_2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d'_1,r'_1} \times \mathrm{Gr}_{d'_2,r'_2}.$$

(i): the unicity of $\mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ is obvious. Its existence amounts to the commutativity of the following diagram in $\mathbf{Ho}_{\bullet}(S)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr} & \xrightarrow{u_1^* \wedge \mathrm{id}} & (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) \wedge (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{id} \wedge \mathrm{ch} & & \downarrow \mathrm{ch} \wedge \mathrm{ch} & & \downarrow \mathrm{ch} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge D_s & \xrightarrow{c^* \wedge \mathrm{id}} & D_s \wedge D_s & \xrightarrow{\mu} & D_s. \end{array} \quad (5.17)$$

The top and bottom lines are the bonding maps of $\mathrm{BGL}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ (cf. (5.3)) and $\oplus_p \mathrm{H}_D\{p\}$ (cf. Definition and Lemma 3.3), respectively. The map c^* corresponds to the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \in \mathrm{H}_D^2(\mathbb{P}_S^1, 1)$. To see the commutativity of the right half, we use that the functor φ (5.7) induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_{\bullet}(S)}((\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr})^{\wedge 2}, D_s) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)}(K_0(-) \wedge K_0, \mathrm{H}_D^0).$$

This identification is shown exactly as [Rio, III.31], which does it for $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}$ instead of D_s . The point is a surjectivity argument in comparing cohomology groups of products of different Grassmannians, which is applicable to Deligne cohomology by the remark above. By construction of the multiplication map

on $\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr}$, applying φ to the right half of (5.17) yields the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0 \wedge K_0 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{K_0}} & K_0 \\ \downarrow \text{ch} \wedge \text{ch} & & \downarrow \text{ch} \\ H_D^0 \wedge H_D^0 & \xrightarrow{\mu_D} & H_D^0. \end{array}$$

Here μ_{K_0} is the usual (tensor) product on K_0 and μ_D is the classical product on Deligne cohomology [EV88]. The Beilinson regulator is multiplicative [Sch88, Cor., p. 28], so this diagram commutes.

For the commutativity of the left half, let $i_{m,n} : \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the inclusion $[x_0 : \dots : x_m] \mapsto [x_0 : \dots : x_m : 0 : \dots : 0]$, for $m \leq n$, and $i_{m,\infty} := \text{colim}_n i_{m,n} : \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\infty := \text{colim}_n \mathbb{P}^n$. The map u_1^* factors as

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{i_{1,\infty}} \mathbb{P}^\infty \xrightarrow{u_\infty^*} \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr}$$

where $u_\infty^* \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)}(\mathbb{P}^\infty, \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr})$ is induced by the compatible system $u_n = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)] - [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}] \in K_0(\mathbb{P}^n)$ —simply because $i_{1,n}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. Similarly, $c^* = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ is given by

$$c^* : \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{i_{1,\infty}} \mathbb{P}^\infty \xrightarrow{u_\infty^*} \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} D_s,$$

because $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}(1)) - \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}) = 1 + c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) - 1 = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in H_D^2(\mathbb{P}^\infty, 1)$. Then the commutativity of the diagram in question is obvious.

(ii): For each $n \geq 0$ and $m = 0, -1$, put $V_n^m := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S, \mathbf{Ab})}(K_0, H_D^m)$. These groups form a projective system with transition maps

$$V_{n+1}^m \ni (f_n : K_0 \rightarrow H_D^m) \mapsto (\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} f_n : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} K_0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} H_D^m) \in V_n^m,$$

where $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}(F)$ is the presheaf $\mathbf{Sm}/S \ni U \mapsto \ker(F(\mathbb{P}_U^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(U))$. Indeed, the projective bundle formula (for $\mathbb{P}^1$) implies an isomorphism of presheaves $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} K_0 \cong K_0$ and likewise with H_D^m .

The composition of functors

$$\mathbf{SH} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{\text{naive}} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbf{Ho}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)$$

actually takes values in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S, \mathbf{Ab})$. Here, n indicates taking the n -th level of a spectrum. By construction, BGL gets mapped to K_0 and H_D gets mapped to the presheaf $H_D^0 = \oplus_p H_D^{2p}(-, p)$ for each $n \geq 0$. This gives rise to the following map (cf. [Rio, IV.11])

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}}(\text{BGL}, \oplus_p H_D\{p\}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}^{\text{naive}}(S)}(\text{BGL}^{\text{naive}}, \oplus_p H_D\{p\}) \cong \varprojlim_n V_n^0.$$

This map is part of the following Milnor-type short exact sequence [Rio, IV.48, III.26, see also IV.8] (it is applicable because of the surjectivity of (5.16) for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$)

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \varprojlim_n V_n^{-1} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}}(\text{BGL}, \oplus_p H_D\{p\}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n V_n^0 \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.18)$$

The map ch^{naive} thus corresponds to a unique element in the right-most term of (5.18). The natural map

$$\begin{aligned} V_n^{-1} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Ab})}(K_0, H_D^{-1}) &\rightarrow \varprojlim_e \oplus_p H_D^{2p-1}(\mathbb{P}_S^e, p) \cong \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \oplus_{j=0}^p H_D^{2p-2j-1}(S, p-j) \\ f &\mapsto (f(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^e}(1)))_e, \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, the proof of the analogous statement for motivic cohomology instead of Deligne cohomology [Rio, V.18] (essentially a splitting argument) only uses the calculation of motivic cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^e$. Thus it goes through by the projective bundle formula for Deligne cohomology.

Via this identification, the transition maps $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} : V_{n+1}^{-1} \rightarrow V_n^{-1}$ are the direct sum over $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the maps

$$\oplus_{j=0}^p H_D^{2p-2j-1}(S, p-j) \rightarrow \oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} H_D^{2(p-1)-2j-1}(S, (p-1)-j)$$

which are the multiplication by j on the j -th summand at the left. Again, this is analogous to [Rio, V.24]. In particular $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is onto, since Deligne cohomology groups are divisible. Therefore $R^1 \varprojlim V_n^{-1} = 0$, so (ii) is shown.

(iii): as in [Rio, V.36], one sees that $\text{ch} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ factors over the projections $\text{BGL}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_D(p)[2p] \rightarrow H_D$. $\square$

5.3 Comparison

Theorem 5.7. *The regulator maps ch , ρ constructed in Theorem 5.6 and the regulator maps ch_D , ρ_D obtained in Definition 3.7 agree:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_D &= \text{ch} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\text{BGL}, \oplus_p H_D\{p\}), \\ \rho_D &= \rho \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{B}}, H_D). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, ch_D also induces the Beilinson regulator $K_n(X) \rightarrow \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p)$ for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$, $n \geq 0$.

Proof: The map ch is a map of ring spectra (i.e., monoid objects in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$): the multiplicativity, i.e., $\text{ch} \circ \mu_{\text{BGL}} = \mu_D \circ (\text{ch} \wedge \text{ch})$ follows from the right half of the diagram (5.17). The unitality boils down to $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}) = 1 \in H_D^0(S, 0)$. We define an BGL -module structure on $\mathcal{D} := \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_D\{p\}$ in the usual manner:

$$\text{BGL} \wedge \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{ch} \wedge \text{id}} \mathcal{D} \wedge \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{D}.$$

It is indeed an BGL -module, as one sees using that ch is a ring morphism. By the unicity of the BGL -algebra structure on $\oplus H_D\{p\}$ (Theorem 3.6), this algebra structure agrees with the one established in *loc. cit.* This implies $\text{ch} = \text{ch}_D$. The proof for $\rho = \rho_D$ is the similar, replacing BGL with $H_{\mathbb{B}}$ throughout. $\square$

6 Comparison with arithmetic K -theory and arithmetic Chow groups

In this section, we show that the groups represented by $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$ coincide with arithmetic K -theory defined by Gillet-Soulé and Takeda for smooth schemes over $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$, for example (Theorem 6.1). This comparison isomorphism is compatible with the Adams operations on both sides and with the module structure over K -theory (6.2, 6.3). We also establish the compatibility of comparison isomorphism with the pushforward in two cases (6.4).

The geometric set-up in this section is as follows:

$$X \rightarrow S \rightarrow B. \quad (6.1)$$

Here B is a fixed arithmetic ring (Definition 2.7), S is a regular scheme (of finite type) over B (including the important case $S = B$), and $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$. Let $\eta : B_\eta := B \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow B$ be the “generic fiber”. For any datum $?$ related to Deligne cohomology, we write $? := \eta_* ?$ for simplicity of notation. That is, $D_s(X) := \eta_* D_s(X) = D_s(X \times_B B_\eta)$, $H_D := \eta_* H_D \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ etc.

For a proper arithmetic variety X (i.e., X is regular and flat over an arithmetic ring B), Gillet and Soulé have defined the arithmetic K -group as the free abelian group generated by pairs $(\overline{E}, \alpha)$, where $\overline{E}/X$ is a hermitian vector bundle and $\alpha \in D_0(X)/\text{im } d_D$, modulo the relation

$$(\overline{E}', \alpha') + (\overline{E}'', \alpha'') = (\overline{E}, \alpha' + \alpha'' + \widetilde{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}))$$

for any extension

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} : 0 \rightarrow \overline{E}' \rightarrow \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{E}'' \rightarrow 0$$

of hermitian bundles. Here $\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}})$ is a secondary Chern class of the extension (see [GS90b, Section 6] for details). We denote this group by $\widehat{K}_0^T(X)$. The superscript T stands for Takeda, who generalized this to higher n [Tak05, p. 621]². These higher arithmetic K -groups $\widehat{K}_n^T(X)$ fit into a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, where $\widehat{K}_n(X) := \ker \text{ch}^T$ and $B_n^D(X) := \text{im } d_D :$

² Gillet and Soulé use a slightly different normalization of the Chern class which differs from the one used by Burgos-Wang, Takeda (and this paper) by a factor of $2(2\pi i)^n$ for appropriate n . See *loc. cit.* for details.

$D_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow D_n(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
K_{n+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n-1}(X, p) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}_n(X) & \longrightarrow & K_n(X) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(X, p) \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
K_{n+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & D_{n+1}(X)/\text{im}(d_D) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}_n^T(X) & \longrightarrow & K_n(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow d_D & & \downarrow \text{ch}^T & & \\
& & B_n^D(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_n^D(X) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

By Theorem 5.7, the top exact sequence looks exactly like the one in Theorem 4.5. In order to show that $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ and $\widehat{H}^{-n}(X)$ are isomorphic, we use that there is a natural isomorphism (functorial with respect to pullback),

$$\widehat{K}_n(X) \cong \pi_{n+1}(\text{hofib}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{Sets}_\bullet} S_*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_S} D_s[-1](X)), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{6.3}$$

of the arithmetic K -group with the homotopy fiber in pointed simplicial sets (endowed with its standard model structure) [Tak05, Cor. 4.9]. We write

$$\widehat{S} := \text{hofib}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)}(S_* \rightarrow D_s[-1]),$$

for the homotopy fiber with respect to the section-wise model structure, so that $\pi_{n+1}(\widehat{S}(X)) = \widehat{K}_n(X)$.

Recall from Section 4.1 the object $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$. Its key property is the existence of a distinguished triangle (in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$)

$$\oplus_p H_D[-1] \rightarrow \widehat{\text{BGL}} \rightarrow \text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \oplus H_D\{p\}.$$

The cohomology groups represented by this object are denoted by $\widehat{H}^*(-)$, cf. Definition 4.4.

The content of the following theorems (6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4) can be paraphrased as follows: given a commutative diagram in some triangulated category,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
B[-1] & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B \\
\downarrow b[-1] & & \downarrow \text{dotted } e & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow b \\
B'[-1] & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B',
\end{array}$$

the map e (whose existence is granted by the axioms of a triangulated category) is in general not unique. The unicity of e is guaranteed if the map

$$\text{Hom}(E, A'[-1]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, B'[-1])$$

is onto. In our situation, we are aiming at a canonical comparison between, say, Arakelov motivic cohomology and arithmetic Chow groups. Both theories arise from distinguished triangles where two of the three vertices are the same (namely the one responsible for motivic cohomology or Chow groups and the one for Deligne cohomology). Moreover, the map between them considered *up to homotopy*, i.e., in the triangulated category $\mathbf{SH}$, is the Chern class that is independent of choices (as opposed to the Chern form, which does depend on the choice of a hermitian metric on the vector bundle in question). That is, we are in the above situation. As we have seen, the wanted unicity is a non-formal statement. In our case, it is assured by Conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 6.1 (or condition (c) if one neglects torsion). Luckily, it only consists of an injectivity condition for the regulator on the base scheme S , not on all schemes $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$. This is one of the places where working with the objects representing the cohomology theories we are interested in is much more powerful than working with the individual cohomology groups.

Theorem 6.1. *Let S be a regular scheme over an arithmetic ring. We suppose that*

(a) $\text{ch} : K_0(S) \rightarrow H_D^0(S) = \oplus_p H_D^{2p}(S, p)$ *is injective, and*

(b) $K_1(S)$ *is the direct sum of a finite and a divisible group.*

For example, these conditions are satisfied for $S = B = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$, or $\mathbb{C}$. Then the following holds:

(i) *In $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$, the only map making the following diagram commute is the identity of $\widehat{S}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_s = \Omega_s D_s[-1] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{S} & \longrightarrow & S_* & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_s} & D_s[-1] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ D_s = \Omega_s D_s[-1] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{S} & \longrightarrow & S_* & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_s} & D_s[-1] \end{array}$$

(ii) *Likewise, the same statement holds stably: the only endomorphism of $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$ fitting in the diagram is $\text{id}_{\widehat{\text{BGL}}}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \oplus_p H_D\{p\}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{BGL}} & \longrightarrow & \text{BGL} & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & \oplus_p H_D\{p\} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \oplus_p H_D\{p\}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{BGL}} & \longrightarrow & \text{BGL} & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & \oplus_p H_D\{p\}. \end{array}$$

(iii) *The afore-mentioned unicity results give rise to a canonical isomorphism, functorial with respect to pullback*

$$\widehat{K}_n(X) \cong \widehat{H}^{-n}(X/S)$$

for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$. (The definition of $\widehat{K}_n(X)$ in loc. cit. is only done for X/B proper, but can be generalized to non-proper varieties using differential forms with logarithmic poles at infinity, as in Definition 2.8.)

Instead of (a) and (b), let us suppose that

(c) $\text{ch} : K_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_D^0(S) = \bigoplus_p H_D^{2p}(S, p)$ is injective. (For example, this applies to open subschemes of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_F$ for a number ring $\mathcal{O}_F$.)

Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \widehat{H}^{-n}(X/S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof: (ii): Let us write $(-, -) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(-, -)$. Then we have exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (H_D, H_D[-1]) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (BGL, H_D[-1]) & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & & & \\
 (H_D, \widehat{BGL}) & \longrightarrow & (BGL, \widehat{BGL}) & \longrightarrow & (\widehat{BGL}, \widehat{BGL}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (H_D[-1], \widehat{BGL}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & (BGL, BGL) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow \gamma & & & & \\
 & & (BGL, H_D) & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{6.4}$$

We prove the injectivity of δ by showing that both α and β are surjective. For any Ω -spectrum $E \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ whose levels E_n are H -groups such that the transition maps (5.1) induce surjections $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}}(\text{Gr}_{d,r}, \Omega_s^m E_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}}(\text{Gr}_{d',r'}, \Omega_s^m E_n)$ for $m = 1, 2, n \geq 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \varprojlim E_{\Omega}^1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}}(BGL, E) \rightarrow \varprojlim E_{\Omega}^0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, for any group A , A_{Ω} is the projective system

$$A_{\Omega} : \dots A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A[[t]],$$

with transition maps $f \mapsto (1+t)df/dt$ and $E^r := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}}(S^r, E)$ for $r = 0, 1$ [Rio, IV.48, 49]. This applies to $E = BGL$ and H_D , cf. (5.16):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \varprojlim (K_1(S)_{\Omega}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}(BGL) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim (K_0(S)_{\Omega}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 \varprojlim (H_D^{-1}(S)_{\Omega}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(BGL, H_D) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim (H_D^0(S)_{\Omega}) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

The left hand upper term is 0 by assumption (b) and the vanishing of $R^1 \varprojlim A_{\Omega}$ for a finite or a divisible group A [Rio, IV.40, IV.58]. The right hand vertical

map $\varprojlim \text{ch}$ is injective by assumption (a) and the left-exactness of $\varprojlim$. Hence γ is injective, so β is onto.

The surjectivity of α does not make use of the assumptions (a), (b). Indeed,

$$\text{Hom}(\text{BGL}, \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\}[-1]) = \prod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(\text{H}_B\{q\}, \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\}[-1]) \stackrel{3.6(ii)}{=} \prod_q \text{H}_D^{-1}(S).$$

Given some $x \in \text{H}_D^{-1}(S)$, pick any representative $\xi \in \ker(\text{D}_1(S) \rightarrow \text{D}_0(S))$ and define $y : \text{H}_D\{q\} \rightarrow \oplus_p \text{H}_D[-1]\{p\}$ to be the cup product with ξ . Then $\alpha(y) = x$.

(i): we need to establish the injectivity of the map in the first row:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)}(\widehat{S}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)}(\Omega_s \text{D}_s[-1], \widehat{S}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{End}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \widehat{\text{BGL}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \text{H}_D[-1], \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \widehat{\text{BGL}}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \widehat{\text{BGL}}, \widehat{\text{BGL}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \text{H}_D[-1], \widehat{\text{BGL}}) \\ \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\widehat{\text{BGL}}, \widehat{\text{BGL}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\text{H}_D[-1], \widehat{\text{BGL}}). \end{array}$$

The counit map $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \rightarrow \text{id}$ is an isomorphism when applied to BGL , and H_D (and thus $\text{H}_D[-1]$), since these two spectra are Ω -spectra. Therefore, the same is true for $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$. By (ii), the last horizontal map is injective.

(iii): we obtain the sought isomorphism as the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{H}}^{-n}(X/S) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty S^n \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(\text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge 1_{\text{H}_D}} \text{BGL} \wedge \text{H}_D)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty S^n \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(\text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\})) \quad (6.5) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(\mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_0} \text{D}_s)) \quad (6.6) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(\Omega_s S_* \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_S} \text{D}_s)) \quad (6.7) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(S)}(S^n \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(\Omega_s S_* \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_S} \text{D}_s)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}_{\text{sect}, \bullet}(S)}(S^{n+1} \wedge X_+, \text{hofib}(S_* \rightarrow \text{D}_s[-1])) \quad (6.8) \\ &= \pi_{n+1} \left(\text{hofib}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{Sets}_\bullet}(S_*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_S} \text{D}_s[-1](X)) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(6.3)}{\cong} \widehat{K}_n(X). \end{aligned}$$

In order to explain the canonical isomorphisms (6.6), (6.8), recall the following generalities on model categories: let

$$F : \mathbf{C} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{D} : G$$

be a Quillen adjunction and let a diagram $\delta : d_1 \xrightarrow{f} d_2 \leftarrow *$ in $\mathbf{D}$ be given. The homotopy fiber of f is a fibrant replacement of the homotopy pullback of δ . If $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are right proper and d_1 and d_2 are *fibrant*, then the homotopy pullback agrees with the homotopy limit and $\mathrm{holim} G(\delta)$ is weakly equivalent to $G \mathrm{holim}(\delta)$ [Hir03, 19.5.3, 19.4.5]. Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{D})}(F(c), \mathrm{hofib} f) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{C})}(c, \mathrm{hofib} G(f)). \quad (6.9)$$

We apply this to the Quillen adjunctions

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/X)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}} \end{array} \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/X)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty}} \\ \xleftarrow{\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty}} \end{array} \mathbf{Spt}^{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/X)).$$

The leftmost category is endowed with the sectionwise model structure, then the Nisnevich- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local one, and the stable model structure at the right. These model structures are proper [GJ99, II.9.6], [MV99, 3.2., p. 86], [Jar00, 4.15]. The simplicial presheaf D_* is fibrant with respect to the section-wise model structure, since it is a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups. Moreover, it is $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant and has Nisnevich descent by Theorem 2.9(vi). Therefore, it is fibrant with respect to the Nisnevich- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local model structure. Moreover, H_D is an Ω -spectrum by Lemma 3.5, so it is a fibrant spectrum (any level-fibrant Ω -spectrum is stably fibrant [Jar00, 2.7]). Secondly, BGL is stably fibrant: its terms $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Gr}$ are simplicially constant, i.e., fibrant in the Nisnevich model structure (since any representable presheaf is a Nisnevich sheaf) and $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, since $K_*(X) \cong K'_*(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/S$ by the regularity of S . Moreover, BGL is an Ω -spectrum by the projective bundle formula for K -theory. We also note that the S -construction presheaf S_* , cf. (5.9), is $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant for the same reason and Nisnevich local for all regular schemes [TT90, Thm. 10.8], and consists of Kan simplicial sets by definition. Hence S_* is a fibrant simplicial presheaf in the $\mathbb{A}^1$ -model structure. Therefore, all objects occurring in the homotopy fibers of the isomorphisms (6.6), (6.8) are fibrant, so these isomorphisms follow from (6.9).

The isomorphism (6.5) follows from (ii): we can pick representatives of BGL and of $\mathrm{ch} : \mathrm{BGL} \rightarrow \oplus H_D\{p\}$ (Theorem 5.6(ii)) in the underlying model category $\mathbf{Spt}$. We will denote them by the same symbols. We get a diagram of maps in $\mathbf{Spt} := \mathbf{Spt}^{\mathbb{P}^1}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}\mathbf{PSh}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Sm}/S))$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{hofib}(\mathrm{id} \wedge 1_{H_D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BGL} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \wedge 1_{H_D}} & \mathrm{BGL} \wedge H_D \\ \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\ \mathrm{hofib}(\mathrm{ch}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{BGL} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} & \oplus_p H_D\{p\}. \end{array}$$

The Chern character for motivic cohomology and Theorem 3.6(iii) induce an isomorphism $\mathrm{BGL} \wedge H_D \cong \oplus_p H_D\{p\}$ in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$. Any zig-zag of maps in $\mathbf{Spt}$ lifting this isomorphism gives rise to a zig-zag of maps between the corresponding

homotopy fibers. The resulting isomorphism (in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$) between the homotopy fibers is independent of the choice of representatives of BGL, ch and the zig-zag, by (ii).

Similarly, the isomorphism (6.7) follows from (i): still using the above lift of ch to $\mathbf{Spt}$, $\text{ch}_0 := \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \text{ch}$ is a map of simplicial presheaves. The isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr} \cong \Omega_s S_*$ (5.12) can be lifted to a map $\tilde{\tau}$ of presheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hofib } \text{ch}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Gr} & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_0} & D_s \\ \vdots & & \downarrow \tilde{\tau} & & \parallel \\ \text{hofib } \text{ch}_S & \longrightarrow & \Omega_s S_* & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_S} & D_s \end{array}$$

The right hand square may not commute in $\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/S)$, but it does in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$. By (i), the resulting isomorphism (in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$) between $\text{hofib}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{PSh}}(\text{ch}_0)$ and $\text{hofib}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} \mathbf{PSh}}(\text{ch}_S)$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\tau}$ and ch_0 .

The statement with rational coefficients is similar: one replaces S_* , which is given by simplicial chains in the topological realization of the S -construction, by its version with rational coefficients. Likewise, one replaces BGL by its $\mathbb{Q}$ -localization (using the additive structure of $\mathbf{SH}(S)$) $\text{BGL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then condition (a) gets replaced by (c) and (b) becomes unnecessary, since the groups $R^1 \varprojlim A^\Omega$ encountered above vanishes for a divisible group A . $\square$

6.1 Adams operations

The arithmetic K -groups are endowed with Adams operations

$$\Psi^k : \hat{K}_n^T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \hat{K}_n^T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

With respect to the maps in (6.2), these Adams operations are compatible with the ones on K -theory and the trivial ones,

$$\Psi^k : D_* := \oplus_p D_*(p) \rightarrow \oplus_p D_*(p), \Psi^k = \oplus_p (k^p \cdot \text{id}).$$

In particular, we obtain Adams operations on $\hat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This is due to Gillet and Soulé [GS90b, Section 7] for $n = 0$ and to Feliu [Fel10, Thm. 4.3] in general. In analogy to the usual definition, let us denote the *Adams eigenspaces* by

$$\hat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} := \{x \in \hat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \Psi^k(x) = k^p \cdot x \text{ for all } k \geq 1\}.$$

Recall also the definition of the arithmetic Chow group from [GS90a, Section 3.3] in the proper case and [Bur97, Section 7] in general. In a nutshell, the group $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{GS}}^p(X)$ is generated by arithmetic cycles (Z, g) , where Z is a cycle of codimension p and g is a Green current for Z , i.e. a real current satisfying $\text{Fr}_\infty^* g = (-1)^{p-1} g$ such that $\omega(Z, g) := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} g + \delta_Z$ is (the current associated to) a C^∞ differential form (and therefore an element of $D_0(p)(X)$). Here δ_Z is

the Dirac current of $Z(\mathbb{C}) \subset X(\mathbb{C})$. In analogy to the relation of $\widehat{K}_0^T(X)$ vs. $\widehat{K}_0(X)$, we put

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X) := \ker(\omega : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{GS}}^p(X) \rightarrow D_0(p)(X))^3. \quad (6.10)$$

Corollary 6.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 6.1(c), the Adams operations on $\widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are compatible, under the isomorphism $\widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \widehat{H}^{-n}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, with the ones on $\widehat{H}^{-n}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induced by the Arakelov-Chern character $\widehat{H}^{-n}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{H}^{2p-n}(X, p)$ (Theorem 4.2) and the trivial Adams operations on the direct sum of the Arakelov motivic cohomology groups.*

Therefore, we get canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} &= \widehat{H}^{2p-n}(X, p), \\ \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \widehat{K}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} &= \widehat{H}^{2p,p}(X), \\ \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} &= \widehat{K}_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The following diagram is commutative by Feliu's compatibility statement mentioned above, Theorem 6.1, and the compatibility of the Arakelov-Chern character with the usual Chern character:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} K_{n+1,\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & H_D^{2p-n-1}(p) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & K_{n,\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & H_D^{2p-n}(p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_{n+1,\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n-1}(p) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}_{n,\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & K_{n,\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(p) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \parallel & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \\ H_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-n-1} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n-1}(p) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-n} & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{Q}}^{-n} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_p H_D^{2p-n}(p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{-n-1,p} & \longrightarrow & H_D^{2p-n-1}(p) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{H}^{-n,p} & \longrightarrow & H^{-n,p} & \longrightarrow & H_D^{2p-n}(p). \end{array}$$

The rows are exact and the composition of the maps in the non-central columns are isomorphisms, so the five-lemma shows the first claim. The isomorphism between the arithmetic Chow and K -group is due to Gillet and Soulé [GS90b, Theorem 7.3.4]. The final isomorphism follows from the first one and Theorem 4.5(iv). $\square$

6.2 The action of K -theory on $\widehat{K}$ -theory

Recall from Remark 4.3 that $\widehat{\text{BGL}}$ is a BGL-module, i.e., there is a natural BGL-action

$$\mu : \text{BGL} \wedge \widehat{\text{BGL}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{BGL}}.$$

³The group $\widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)$ is denoted $\widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)_0$ in *loc. cit.*

For any smooth scheme $f : X/S$, this induces a map called the *canonical BGL-action* on $\widehat{H}$ -groups:

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(X) \times \widehat{H}^m(X) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(X_+, \mathrm{BGL}[n]) \times \mathrm{Hom}(X_+, \widehat{\mathrm{BGL}}[m]) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X_+ \wedge X_+, \mathrm{BGL} \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{BGL}}[n+m]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Delta^* \circ \mu_*} \mathrm{Hom}(X_+, \widehat{\mathrm{BGL}}[n+m]) = \widehat{H}^{n+m}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta : X_+ \rightarrow X_+ \wedge X_+ = (X \times X)_+$ is the diagonal map.

Theorem 6.3. *Let S be a regular base scheme satisfying Condition (c) of 6.1. Then, at least up to torsion, the canonical comparison isomorphism $\widehat{K}_n(X) \cong \widehat{H}^{-n}(X)$ is compatible with the canonical BGL-action on the right hand side and the K_* -action*

$$K_*(X) \times \widehat{K}_*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_*(X)$$

induced by the product structure on $\widehat{K}_*^T(X)$ established by Gillet and Soulé (for $\widehat{K}_0$) [GS90b, Theorem 7.3.2] and Takeda (for higher $\widehat{K}^T$ -theory) [Tak05, Section 6] on the left hand side.

Similarly, the pairing

$$\mathrm{CH}^n(X) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{n+m}(X)$$

induced by the ring structure on $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathrm{GS}}^*(X)$ agrees, after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$, with the canonical pairing

$$H^{2n}(X, n) \times \widehat{H}^{2m}(X, m) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{2(n+m)}(X, n+m).$$

Proof: Before proving the theorem proper, we sketch the definition of the product on $\widehat{K}_*^T$: instead of the S -construction, Takeda uses the Gillet-Grayson G -construction $G_*(-) := G_*(\widehat{P}(-))$ of the exact category of hermitian vector bundles on a scheme (see p. 5). There is a natural weak equivalence $G_*(T) \rightarrow \Omega_s S_*(T)$. In particular, $\pi_n(G_*(T)) = K_n(T)$ for any scheme T and $n \geq 0$. This gives rise to a canonical isomorphism

$$\widehat{K}_n(X) = \pi_n \mathrm{hofib}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(\mathbf{Sets})}(G_*(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}_G} D_s(X)).$$

(cf. [Tak05, Theorem 6.2]). The advantage of the G -construction is the existence of a bisimplicial version $G_*^{(2)}$ of G -theory together with a weak equivalence $R : G_* \rightarrow G_*^{(2)}$ and a map $\mu_G : G_*(X) \wedge G_*(X) \rightarrow G_*^{(2)}(X)$, so that the induced map $\pi_n(G_*(X)) \times \pi_m(G_*(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+m}(G_*^{(2)}(X))$ is the usual product on K -theory. Moreover, ch_G factors over R .

Consider the following diagram, where $\mu_D : D_s \wedge D_s \rightarrow D_s$ is the product (cf. Section 3) and the terms in the second column denote the homotopy fibers (with respect to the section-wise model structure) of the respective right-most

horizontal maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Omega_s(G \wedge D_s) & \longrightarrow & G \wedge \widehat{G} & \longrightarrow & G \wedge G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \text{ch}_G} & G \wedge D_s \\
\downarrow \Omega_s \mu_D \circ \text{ch}_G & & \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow \mu_G & & \downarrow \mu_D \circ \text{ch}_G \\
\Omega_s D_s & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G^{(2)}} & \longrightarrow & G^{(2)} & \longrightarrow & D_s \\
\parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow R & & \parallel \\
\Omega_s D_s & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G} & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_G} & D_s.
\end{array}$$

The lower right square is commutative (on the nose) according to *loc. cit.* The upper right square is commutative up to (a certain) homotopy [Tak05, Theorem 5.2], so there is some dotted map such that the left-upper square commutes up to homotopy. This yields a map $\phi : G \wedge \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$ fitting into the following diagram (in $\mathbf{Ho}(S)$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
G \wedge \Omega_s D_s & \longrightarrow & G \wedge \widehat{G} & \longrightarrow & G \wedge G & \longrightarrow & G \wedge D_s \\
\downarrow \mu_D \circ \text{ch}_G & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \mu_G & & \downarrow \mu_D \circ \text{ch}_G \\
\Omega_s D_s & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & D_s.
\end{array} \tag{6.11}$$

The K_* -action on $\widehat{K}_*$ is induced by ϕ . Thus, to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty(\widehat{\text{BGL}} \wedge \widehat{\text{BGL}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G \wedge \widehat{G} \\
\downarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \mu & & \downarrow \phi \\
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty(\widehat{\text{BGL}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \widehat{G}
\end{array}$$

is commutative in $\mathbf{Ho}(S)$. Here the horizontal isomorphisms are the ones from Theorem 6.1. For this, it is sufficient to show that the dotted map in (6.11) is unique (in $\mathbf{Ho}_\bullet(S)$). The latter statement looks very much like 6.1(i). Indeed, it can be shown in the same manner, as we now sketch: again, one first does the stable analogue, namely the unicity of a map $\widehat{\text{BGL}} \wedge \widehat{\text{BGL}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{BGL}}$ in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ making the diagram analogous to (6.11) commute. To do so, the sequences in (6.4) are altered by replacing $\text{Hom}(?, *)$ by $\text{Hom}(\widehat{\text{BGL}} \wedge ?, *)$ everywhere. For any $E \in \mathbf{DM}_B(S)$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{\text{BGL}} \wedge ?, E) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q}}(\text{H}_B\{p\} \wedge ?, E) = \prod_p \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q}}(\{p\}, E)$$

since $\mathbf{DM}_B(S) \subset \mathbf{SH}(S)_\mathbb{Q}$ is a full subcategory. This applies to $E = \text{H}_D$ and $E = \text{BGL}_\mathbb{Q} = \oplus_p \text{H}_B\{p\}$. Therefore, both the surjectivity of α and the injectivity

of γ in (6.4) carries over to the situation at hand.⁴ Then, the unstable unicity statement mentioned above is deduced from the stable one.

The statement for the arithmetic Chow groups follows from this: $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a direct factor of $\widehat{K}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in a way that is compatible with the action of the direct factor $\text{CH}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, by the multiplicativity of the arithmetic Chern character $\widehat{K}_0^T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \oplus_p \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{GS}}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [GS90b, Theorem 7.3.2(ii)]. Similarly, the H_B -action on $\widehat{H}_B$ is a direct factor of the $\text{BGL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on $\widehat{\text{BGL}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. $\square$

6.3 Pushforward

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth proper map. According to Definition and Lemma 4.10,

$$\text{Hom}(\text{BGL} \rightarrow f_* f^* \text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_f^{\text{BGL}}, \cong} f_! f^! \text{BGL}, \widehat{\text{BGL}})$$

defines a functorial pushforward

$$f_* : \widehat{H}^n(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^n(S)$$

and similarly

$$f_* : \widehat{H}^n(X, p) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{n-2 \dim f}(S - \dim f),$$

where $\dim f := \dim X - \dim S$ is the relative dimension of f .

Theorem 6.4. (i) *The pushforward $i_* : \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_p) = H^0(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is given by $(0, \log p)$.*

(ii) *For $f : X \rightarrow S \subset \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ smooth projective, the pushforward $f_* : \widehat{H}^{2 \dim X}(X, \dim X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^2(S, 1)$ agrees (via the isomorphism of Theorem 6.1) with the map $f_* : \widehat{\text{CH}}^{\dim X}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ obtained by restricting the pushforward on arithmetic Chow groups $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{GS}}$ [GS90a, Theorem 3.6.1].*

In order to prove (ii), we need some facts pertaining to the Betti realisation due to Ayoub [Ayo10]: for any smooth scheme $B/\mathbb{C}$, let

$$-^{\text{An}} : \mathbf{Sm}/B \rightarrow \mathbf{AnSm}/B^{\text{An}}$$

be the functor which maps a smooth (algebraic) variety over B to the associated smooth analytic space (seen as a space over the analytic space attached to B), equipped with its usual topology. (This functor was denoted $-(\mathbb{C})$ above.) The adjunction

$$\text{An}^* : \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Sm}/B, \mathbb{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{AnSm}/B^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{C}) : \text{An}_*$$

between the category of presheaves of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on $\mathbf{Sm}/B$ and the similar category of presheaves on smooth analytic spaces over B^{An} carries over to an adjunction of stable homotopy categories:

$$\text{An}^* : \mathbf{SH}(B, \mathbb{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}^{\text{An}}(B^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{C}) : \text{An}_*. \quad (6.12)$$

⁴I need to restrict to $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients, since I do not know how to compute $\text{BGL} \wedge \text{BGL}$.

We refer to [Ayo10, Section 2] for details and notation; we use $\mathbb{P}_{B^{\text{An}}}^1$ -spectra instead of $(\mathbb{A}_{B^{\text{An}}}^1/\mathbb{G}_{mB^{\text{An}}})$ -spectra, which does not make a difference. Secondly, there is a natural equivalence

$$\phi_X : \mathbf{SH}^{\text{An}}(X^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{An}}(X^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{C}))$$

of the stable analytic homotopy category and the derived category of sheaves (of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces), for any smooth B -scheme X . Both this equivalence and (6.12) are compatible with the exceptional inverse image and direct image with compact support in the sense that

$$f^{\text{An}!} \phi_S \text{An}^* = \phi_X \text{An}^* f^!, \quad f_!^{\text{An}} \phi_X \text{An}^* = \phi_S \text{An}^* f_!$$

for any smooth map $f : X \rightarrow S$ of smooth B -schemes [Ayo10, Th. 3.4]. Here $f_!$ and $f^!$ are the usual functors on the stable homotopy category, while $f^{\text{An}!}$ and $f_!^{\text{An}}$ are the classical ones on the derived category.

To show (i), we need the following auxiliary lemma. It is probably well-known, but we give a proof here for completeness.

Lemma 6.5. *In a triangulated category, let $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{\gamma} A[1]$ and $A' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} B' \xrightarrow{\beta'} C' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} A'[1]$ be two distinguished triangles. Consider the maps of Hom-groups induced by α, α' etc. We suppose that $\text{Hom}(\beta, B')$ is onto and $\text{Hom}(\gamma, C')$ is bijective, as shown:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}(A, A') & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & \text{Hom}(B, A') & & \\ & & \downarrow \alpha'_* & & \\ & & \text{Hom}(B, B') & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}(C, B') \\ & & & & \downarrow \beta^* \\ & & & & \text{Hom}(C, C') \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(A[1], C') \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \text{Hom}(A[1], A'[1]). \end{array}$$

Then, for any $\xi \in \text{Hom}(B, A')$, $(\alpha^* \xi)[1] = (\xi \circ \alpha)[1]$ agrees with the image of any lift of $\alpha'_* \xi$ in $\text{Hom}(A[1], A'[1])$ under the above maps.

Proof: Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & A[1] & \xrightarrow{\alpha[1]} & B[1] \\ \downarrow \xi & (1) & \downarrow v & (2) & \downarrow \zeta, \zeta' & (3) & \downarrow \xi[1] \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & C' & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & A[1]. \end{array}$$

By assumption, there is a map v making the square (1) commute. Next, there is a unique map ζ making the square (2) commute. On the other hand, by the axioms of a triangulated category, there is a (a priori non-unique) map ζ' making both (2) and (3) commute. Therefore, $\zeta = \zeta'$. This implies the claim. $\square$

Proof: (of Theorem 6.4) (i): let $i : \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow S := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} \leftarrow U := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/p] : j$. Consider the triangles

$$S^0 \rightarrow i_* i^* S^0 \rightarrow j_! j^* S^0[1] \rightarrow S^0[1],$$

$$\widehat{\text{BGL}} \rightarrow \text{BGL} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \oplus_p \text{H}_D\{p\} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{BGL}}[1].$$

The assumptions of Lemma 6.5 are satisfied, as can be checked using (6.2): the generator of $K_0(\mathbb{F}_p)$ lifts to $(p, \pm 1)$ under $K_1(U) = p^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{F}_p)$, which in turn gets mapped to $\log p \in \text{H}_D^1(\mathbb{Q}, 1) = \mathbb{R}$ under the Beilinson (or Dirichlet) regulator, which agrees with the Chern character ch by Theorem 5.7. Therefore, the pushforward $i_* : \widehat{\text{H}}^0(\mathbb{F}_p) = \text{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_p) = K_0(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{H}}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = \widehat{K}_0(S) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is the map $(0, \log p)$, so it agrees with the classical $\widehat{K}$ -theoretic pushforward.

(ii): we need to show the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{H}_B, f^! \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{1\}) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{p}} & (\text{H}_B, \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{d\}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\text{CH}}^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f_* \\ (\text{H}_B, f_! f^! \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{1\}) & & \\ \downarrow f_! f^! \rightarrow \text{id} & & \\ (\text{H}_B, \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{1\}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{array} \quad (6.13)$$

Here $\widehat{p}$ is the relative purity isomorphism $f^! \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{1\} \cong f^* \widehat{\text{H}}_B\{d\}$. According to class field theory [KS86, Theorem 6.1], $\widehat{\text{CH}}^d(X)$ is finite. Hence $\text{H}_D^{2d-1}(X, d) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(d)}$ is onto, by Theorem 4.5. On the other hand, for dimension reasons, $\text{H}_D^{2d-1}(X, d) = \text{H}_B^{2d-2}(X, \mathbb{R}(d-1))$. By definition, the pushforward in arithmetic Chow groups [GS90a, Thm. 3.6.1] is compatible with

$$\begin{aligned} f_* : \text{H}_B^{2d-2}(X^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{R}(d-1)) &\rightarrow \text{H}_B^0(\mathbb{C}^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{R}(0)) = \mathbb{R} \\ \omega &\mapsto \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{d-1}} \int_{X^{\text{An}}} \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Let C^* be the presheaf complex of real-valued C^∞ -differential forms on smooth analytic spaces. This is a flasque complex and its (presheaf) cohomology groups agree with Betti cohomology with real coefficients. The construction and properties of H_D (esp. Theorem 2.9) carry over and yield a spectrum $\text{An}_*(\mathcal{B})$ representing Betti cohomology. The maps of complexes of sheaves on the analytic site,

$$[\mathbb{R}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(p) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(p),$$

give rise to a map of spectra $H_D(p) \rightarrow \text{An}_* \mathcal{B}(p)$. The rectangle (6.13) is functorial with respect to maps of the target spectrum. Thus, we can replace $\widehat{H}_B\{1\}$ by $\text{An}_* \mathcal{B}(1)[1]$ and $f_* : \widehat{CH}^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{CH}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $f_* : H_B^{2d-2}(X^{\text{An}}, \mathbb{R}(d-1)) \rightarrow H_B^0(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}(0)) = \mathbb{R}$. This settles our claim, since the adjointness map $f_!^{\text{An}} f^{\text{An}!} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ does induce the integration map (6.14) [KS90, Exercise III.20]. $\square$

Remark 6.6. The same proof works more generally for $f_* : \widehat{H}^n(X, p) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{n-2 \dim f}(S, p - \dim f)$, provided that $H^n(X, p) = K_{2p-n}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(p)}$ is zero.

Along the same lines, one could also prove that the pushforward $f_* : \widehat{H}^n(X) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^n(S)$ agrees with $f_* : \widehat{K}_{-n}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{-n}(S)$ provided that $K_n(X) = 0$ (and similarly up to torsion): the $\widehat{K}$ -theoretic pushforward is then mapped onto by the pushforward on Deligne cohomology, modified by the Todd class, cf. [Tak05, p. 670]. The same is true for that $\widehat{H}$ -pushforward, as can be shown in the same way as in Theorem 2.6. Since we will not use this variant, the proof is omitted.

7 The Arakelov intersection pairing

Let $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ be an open, non-empty subscheme of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, where $N = p_1 \cdots p_n$ is a product of distinct primes. We write $\text{Log}(N) := \sum_i \mathbb{Z} \cdot \log p_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ for the subgroup ($\cong \mathbb{Z}^n$) spanned by the logarithms of the p_i .

In this section, we give a conceptual explanation of the height pairing by showing that it is the natural pairing between motivic homology and Arakelov motivic cohomology.

7.1 Definition

Definition 7.1. For $M \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$, put

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(M) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}(S^0, M) \\ H_0(M, 0) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}}(S^0, M_{\mathbb{Q}}). \end{aligned}$$

The second group is called *motivic homology* of M (seen as an object of $\mathbf{SH}$ with rational coefficients): for $M \in \mathbf{DM}_B(S)$, $H_0(M, 0) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\widehat{H}_B, M_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Definition 7.2. Fix some $M \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$. The *Arakelov intersection pairing* is either of the following two maps

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(M) \times \widehat{H}^0(M) &\rightarrow \widehat{H}^0(S^0) = \widehat{K}_0(S) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}/\text{Log}(N), \\ \pi_M : H_0(M, 0) \times \widehat{H}^2(M, 1) &\rightarrow \widehat{H}^2(S^0, 1) = \widehat{K}_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(1)} = (\mathbb{R}/\text{Log}(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \beta \circ \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.3. (i) The tensor structure on the category $\mathbf{DM}_B^c(S)$, the subcategory of compact objects of $\mathbf{DM}_B(S) \subset \mathbf{SH}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, is rigid in the sense that the natural map $M \rightarrow M^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism for any $M \in \mathbf{DM}_B^c(S)$,

where $M^\vee := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathrm{DM}_{\mathbb{B}}(S)}(M, H_{\mathbb{B}})$ [CD09, Theorem 7, p. 6, 14.3.31]. This implies that the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(N^\vee, M^\vee)$ is an isomorphism for any two such motives. In particular $H_0(M, 0) \cong H^0(M^\vee, 0)$, so the pairing can be rewritten as

$$H^0(M^\vee, 0) \times \widehat{H}^2(M, 1) \rightarrow H^2(S, 1). \quad (7.1)$$

This is the shape familiar from other dualities, such as Artin-Verdier duality,

$$H^0(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}^\vee) \times H_c^3(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}(1)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}, \mu_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In this analogy, an étale constructible ℓ -torsion sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to a motive M and étale cohomology with compact support gets replaced by Arakelov motivic cohomology. The pairing (7.1) is conjecturally perfect when replacing $\widehat{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$ by $\widehat{H}_{\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{R}}$, which is constructed in the same way, except that $H_{\mathbb{B}}$ gets replaced by $H_{\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{R}}$, a spectrum representing motivic cohomology tensored with $\mathbb{R}$. The implications of this conjecture and its relation to special L -values is the main topic of [Sch10].

- (ii) By definition, the intersection pairing is functorial: given a map $f : M \rightarrow M'$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_M : & H^0(M, 0) & \times & \widehat{H}^2(M^\vee, 1) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ & \uparrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow = \\ \pi_{M'} : & H^0(M', 0) & \times & \widehat{H}^2(M'^\vee, 1) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}. \end{array}$$

7.2 Comparison with the height pairing

For a regular, flat, and projective scheme $X/\mathbb{Z}$ of absolute dimension d , Gillet and Soulé have defined the *height pairing* μ_{GS} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CH}^m(X)_0 & \times & \mathrm{CH}^{d-m}(X)_0 & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbb{B}}} & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(S) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{CH}^m(X) & \times & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{d-m}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(S) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{GS}^m(X) & \times & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{GS}^{d-m}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{GS}} & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(S) \end{array}$$

Here, $\mathrm{CH}^m(X)_0 := \ker \mathrm{CH}^m(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{D}}^{2m}(X, m)$ is the subgroup of the Chow group consisting of cycles that are homologically trivial at the infinite place. The pairing μ is uniquely determined by μ_{GS} . It is given by

$$(Z, (Z', g')) \mapsto (Z \cdot Z', \delta_Z \wedge g')$$

where Z and Z' are cycles of codimension m and $d - m$, δ_Z is the Dirac current, and g' is a Green current satisfying the differential equation

$$\omega(Z', g') = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} g' + \delta_{Z'} = 0.$$

See [GS90a, Sections 4.2, 4.3] for details. The pairing $\mu_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the height pairing defined by Beilinson [Beĭ87, 4.0.2]. More precisely, Beilinson considered the group of homologically trivial cycles on $X \times_S \mathbb{Q}$, but we will focus on the case where the variety in question is given over the one-dimensional base S .

We now give a very natural interpretation of the height pairing μ in terms of the Arakelov intersection pairing. Our statement applies to smooth schemes X , only, essentially because of the construction of the stable homotopy category, which is built out of presheaves on $\mathbf{Sm}/S$ (as opposed to regular schemes, say).

Theorem 7.4. *Let $S \subset \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ be an open (non-empty) subscheme and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be smooth and proper of absolute dimension d . For any m , let $n := m - \dim f = m - d + 1$ and let $M = \mathbf{M}(X)\{n\} = f_! f^! \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}\{n\}$ be the motive of X (twisted and shifted). Then the height pairing μ (tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$) mentioned above agrees with the Arakelov intersection pairing in the sense that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^m(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \times & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \cong \downarrow 2.2 & & \cong \downarrow 6.2 & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{H}_0(M, 0) & \times & \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^2(M, 1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_M} & \widehat{\mathrm{H}}^2(S, 1). \end{array}$$

Proof: We need to show that the following diagram is commutative. Here $\mathbf{1} := \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}$ is the Beilinson motivic cohomology spectrum, $\widehat{\mathbf{1}} := \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathbf{B}}$ is its Arakelov counterpart (Definition 4.1), and $(-, -)$ stands for $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{DM}_{\mathbf{B}}(?)}(-, -)$, where the base scheme $?$ is S or X , respectively. Every horizontal map is an isomorphism. The maps labelled p and $\widehat{p}$ are relative purity isomorphisms $f^! \cong f^*\{d - 1\}$, applied to $\mathbf{1}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{1}}$, respectively. The isomorphisms between the (arithmetic) Chow groups and (Arakelov) motivic cohomology are discussed in Section 2.2

and Corollary 6.2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(1, f_! f^! 1\{n\}) & \xrightarrow{p} & (1, 1\{m\}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (1, 1\{m\}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^m(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\times & & \times & & \times & & \times \\
(f_! f^! 1\{n\}, \widehat{1}\{1\}) & \xrightarrow{p} & (1\{m\}, f^! \widehat{1}\{1\}) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{p}} & (1\{m\}, \widehat{1}\{d\}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{d-m}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow \pi_M & & \downarrow \circ & (2) & \downarrow \circ & (3) & \downarrow \mu \\
& & (1, f^! \widehat{1}\{1\}) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{p}} & (1, \widehat{1}\{d\}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
& (1) & \parallel & & & & \downarrow f_* \\
& & (1, f_! f^! \widehat{1}\{1\}) & (4) & & & \\
& & \downarrow f_! f^! \rightarrow \mathrm{id} & & & & \\
(1, \widehat{1}\{1\}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (1, \widehat{1}\{1\}) & \longrightarrow & & & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}
\end{array}$$

The commutativity of (1) is a routine exercise in adjoint functors. The commutativity of (2) is obvious. The commutativity of (3) and (4) is settled in Theorems 6.3 and 6.4. $\square$

Example 7.5. Using Remark 7.3(ii), we can also describe the baby example of the Arakelov intersection pairing for $M = M(\mathbb{F}_p)$: according to Theorem 6.4(i), it is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_0(\mathbb{F}_p) & \times & \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{F}_p}} & \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R} \\
\cong \uparrow i^* & & (0, \log p) \downarrow i_* & & \parallel \\
H_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} & \times & \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}} & \widehat{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

Using Theorem 6.3, the bottom row is the obvious multiplication map. Therefore, $\pi_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is given by $(1, 1) \mapsto (0, \log p)$.

References

- [Ayo10] Joseph Ayoub. Note sur les opérations de Grothendieck et la réalisation de Betti. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 9(2):225–263, 2010.
- [Bei87] A. A. Beilinson. Height pairing between algebraic cycles. In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, volume 1289 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–25. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [Bur97] Jose Ignacio Burgos. Arithmetic Chow rings and Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 6(2):335–377, 1997.

- [BW98] Jose Ignacio Burgos and Steve Wang. Higher Bott-Chern forms and Beilinson’s regulator. *Invent. Math.*, 132(2):261–305, 1998.
- [CD09] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Frédéric Déglise. Triangulated categories of mixed motives, 2009.
- [EV88] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. Deligne-Beilinson cohomology. In *Beilinson’s conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 43–91. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [Fel10] Elisenda Feliu. Adams operations on higher arithmetic K -theory. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 46(1):115–169, 2010.
- [GJ99] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [GS90a] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Arithmetic intersection theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (72):93–174 (1991), 1990.
- [GS90b] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(2):205–238, 1990.
- [Hir03] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [HS11] Andreas Holmstrom and Jakob Scholbach. Arakelov motivic cohomology I. Preprint, Aug. 2011, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.2523>, 2011.
- [Jar00] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.*, 5:445–553 (electronic), 2000.
- [KS86] Kazuya Kato and Shuji Saito. Global class field theory of arithmetic schemes. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, volume 55 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 255–331. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [KS90] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Sheaves on manifolds*, volume 292 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (90):45–143 (2001), 1999.

- [Rio] J. Riou. Opérations sur la K-théorie algébrique et régulateurs via la théorie homotopique des schémas. Thesis, available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0793/these.pdf>.
- [Sch88] Peter Schneider. Introduction to the Beilinson conjectures. In *Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 1–35. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [Sch10] Jakob Scholbach. Special L -values of geometric motives. Preprint, Feb. 2010, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.1215>, 2010.
- [Sem] Nikita Semenov. Motives of projective homogeneous varieties. Thesis.
- [Tak05] Yuichiro Takeda. Higher arithmetic K -theory. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 41(3):599–681, 2005.
- [TT90] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III*, volume 88 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.